

आयुक्त(अपील)का कार्यालय,

Office of the Commissioner (Appeal), केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय,अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad



णीएसटी भवन, राजस्वमार्ग, अम्बावाङीअहमदाबाद३८००१५. OSST Blavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Almedabad 380015 2019/6305065 - टेलेफैक्स07926305136

DIN: 20231164SW0000333B41

स्पीड पोस्ट

- क ভাছল संख्या : File No : GAPPL/COM/STP/4115/2023 | १३१० । ।
- ख अगील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal No. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-174/2023-24 दिनौंक Date: 28-11-2023 जारी करने की तासिख Date of Issue 30.11.2023 आयक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित
- Passed by Shri Gyan Chand Jain, Commissioner (Appeals)
- प Arising out of OlO No. MP/296/DC/Div-IV/2022-23 दिनीक: 10.03.2023 passed by The Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division-IV, Ahmedabad South.
 - ध अपीलकर्ता का नामए वं पता Name & Address

Appellant M/s. Parangeeta Mohaniai Patel, Supra Traders, B-86, Girivar Bunglows, Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382443.

सोई व्य रित इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुनव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीघे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनशिक्षण आदेवन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrisved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way:

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

Revision application to Government of India:

- (१) केन्द्रीय तरपादन सुक्क अधिनियम, 1894 की बाश अतत नीचे बताए एम ममलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त बारा को तप-बारा के प्रथम परन्युक के आंतर्गय पुनर्योक्षण आंदेत अधीन समित्र, भारत सरकार, बित्त मंत्रातय, राजस्व विभाग, सीची मौत्रित, चीवन चीच मदन, संदन मार्ग, नई दिस्ती: नाठाठा को की जानी माहिए।
- (i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Goxt, of India, Revision Application Unit Skinistry of Flance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floror, Jerean Deep Bullding, Perillament Street, New Dehl + 110.001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first provisor to sub-section (1) of Section-35 libid:
- (0) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानिकार खाने से किसी मण्डामार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी मण्डामार से दूसरे पण्डामार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, वा किसी मण्डामार या मण्डार में मारे यह किसी कारणानों में या किसी मण्डामार में है। माल की इकिया के पीचण इंडे हों!
- (ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to snother during the ocurse of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

- (P) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग सुरक कच्छे माल पर जस्पादन शलक के रिवेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी सफ्ट या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।
- In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside (A) India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.
- बंदि शुक्क का भगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो। (cg)
- In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of (B)

अंतिम जत्पादन की जत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो खयूटी केंब्रिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (मं.२) 1998 घारा 109 द्वारा नियक्त किए गए हो।

- Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final (c) products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act. 1998.
- केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुरू (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपंत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनोंक से तीन नास के मीतरमूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दों प्रतियों के साथ उचित आदेदन किया जाना चाहिए।उसके साथ खाता हका मुख्य शीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित की के मगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-ÉE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account. रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संसम्न रकम एंक लाख रूपये या उससे कम होतो रूपये 200/-फीस

भगतान की जाए और जहाँ संसम्नरकम एक साख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस मुगतान की जाए। The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more

सीमा शुक्क, केन्द्रीय जरपादन शुक्क एवं सेवा कर अपीक्षीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः— Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

केन्द्रीय जलादन शल्क अधिनियम. 1944 की घास 35-बी /35-इ के अंतर्गत:-(1)

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(2)

than Rupees One Lac.

- जक्तिलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलों के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, (**a**) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन जुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरन<u>[सिस्टेट]</u> की परिचम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में 2nd माला, बहुमाली भवन , असरवा , गिरधरनागर, अहमदाबाद-380004
- To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appallate Tribunal (CESTAT) at (a) 2rd Floor, Bahumali Bhawan, Asarwa, Girdhar Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380004. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as precented under Rube 9 of Central Exclusio/Appeal Rubes, 2001 and shall be appeared by the Part Rube 9 of Central Exclusion Rubes, 2001 and the Rubes, 2001 and 200

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओवश के दिए फीत का मुनाता-एपर्युंता डांग से किया जाना माहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि दिख्या पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए व्याहिष्यित अपीतीय स्थामिकल्प को एक अपीत या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आदेशन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriotoria work if excissing Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs. 100/- for each.

(4) व्यापालय शुल्कअधिनियम 1970 यथासंत्रोबित की अनुसूष-1 के आंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार ज्या आंदिन या मुह्नाबंदिक व्याधिक्षिति निर्पान प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रतिपर १६.६० पैसे काम्याधावस युक्त टिकट लगा होना चाहिए ।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.8.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियनों की ओर भी ध्यान आवर्षित किया जाता है जो तीमा गुरूक, केन्द्रीय प्रत्यादन मुक्क इर्व सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

1ण सीमा सुन्छ, केन्द्रीय जरपादन सुन्छ एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण[सिस्टेट], के प्रतिकपीलो के मानले में कर्जव्याण[Demand] एवं विदिश्यक्षीए) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। इस्त्रीकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपर है (Section 35 F of the Central Enrise Act, 1944, Section 33 & Section 80 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद सुल्क और सेवाकर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Daty Demanded)a. (Section) खेंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि:

 a. (Section) से 6 11D क वहर । नथारत र इण् लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की संशि:

बंग सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देव राशि.

 यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपीक्ष' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपीक्ष' वाशिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है.

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs. 10 Crores, it may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT, Gestion 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Art, 1944, Section 35 & Section 85 of the Finance Art, 1949.

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

amount determined under Section 11 D;
 amount of erroneous Cervat Credit taken;

(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस आदेश के प्रति अपीश प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ बुल्क कथना सुरू या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए बुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती हैं।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in distribute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute."

ORDER IN APPEAL

The present appeal has been filed by M/s. Parangeeta Mohanial Patel, Supra Traders, B-86, Girivar Bunglows, Isanpur, Ahmedabad-382 443 (hereinafter referred to as "the Appellantss") against Order in Original No. MP/296/DC/Div-IV/22-23 dated 10.03.2023 [hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order"] passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Central GST, Division-IV (Narol), Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

- Briefly stated, the facts of the case are that the 2 Appellants were holding Service Tax Registration No. BWUPP4210QSD001. On scrutiny of the data received from the Central Board of Direct Taxes (CBDT), it was noticed that the Appellants had declared less gross value in their Service Tax Returns (ST-3) for the F.Y. 2015-16 and F.Y. 2016-17 as compared to the gross value declared by them in their Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS Returns. Accordingly, it appeared that the Appellants had mis-declared the gross value of sales of service in the service tax returns and short paid /not paid the applicable service tax. The Appellants were called upon to submit copies of relevant documents for assessment for the said period. However, the Appellants neither submitted any required details/documents explaining the reason for the difference raised between gross value declared in ST-3 Returns and Income Tax Return (ITR)/TDS nor responded to the letter in any manner. Therefore, the Appellants were issued Show Cause Notice wherein it was proposed to:
- a) Demand and recover an amount of Rs. 7,63,998/- under proviso to Sub Section (1) of Section 73 of the Finance Act, 1994; along with interest under section 75 of the



- Finance Act 1994 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act').
- Impose penalty under the provisions of Section 77 (1) & 77(2) and 78 of the Act.
- The SCN was adjudicated ex-parte vide the impugned order wherein:
- a) The demand of service tax amounting to Rs. 7,63,998/-
- was confirmed along with interest.
 b) Penalty amounting to Rs. 7,63,998/- was imposed under section 78(I) of the Act.
- Penalty amounting to Rs. 10,000/- was imposed under section 77(2) of the Act.
- d) Penalty as applicable on the Appellants under section 77(1) of the Act.
- Being aggrieved with the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority, the Appellants have preferred the present appeal on the following grounds:
 - ➤ The Appellants are engaged in the business of providing taxable service of "advertising agency service". The 'advertising agency entered into contract with their clients for providing 'advertisement agency service'. The 'Advertising agent' render 'advertising agency service' to various clients in the form of creative agency wherein, they create advertisement by themselves or their third party media agency wherein, they do media printing and/ or buying for advertisement to be published in print/electronic media. They are receiving 15% Agency Commission from authorized Broadcasting and Print media.
- In the present case the Print media or authorized broadcasting media gives a discount of 15% to the Advertising agency. If the tariff rate-is Rs. 100/- it is

sufficient the Advertising Agency pay the media Rs. 85/along with applicable Service Tax. The Advertising
Agency has not received any amount from the media nor
has the media paid any consideration to the Advertising
Agency. The Appellants has only availed the discount of
15 % as Commission.

- The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print media or through Radio or Television, etc. In order to fulfill the requirement of his client the advertising agency i.e. the Appellants gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned its client is not the media. In this case the client of the advertising agency is service receiver and the advertising agency is service provider. This aspect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Tax Act. The media such as broadcasting agency charges the advertising agency for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television.
 - In the instant case the "Advertising Agent" has purchased the Space or Time Slot for Advertisement from the Media. Hence no service is rendered by the Advertising Agency to the media.
- Now for the calculation of Service Tax, the gross amount received by the 'Advertising agency' (Service provider) from its client will be the value of the taxable service. Moreover, as the consideration (gross amount) received by the 'Advertising agency' has been shown as an Income in the Profit & Loss A/c is sufficient to be charged with Service tax on the entire consideration received. The argument of the 'Advertising agency' that the amount of 85 percent which it pays to the Media for

the purchase of SPACE or TIME SLOT (as the case may bel is claimed as the reimbursement of the expenditure made by them is nullified as the said consideration has been shown as an income in the Profit & Loss A/c. The exemption from the payment of service tax is plausible under the "reimbursement" concept where the 'Advertising agency' fulfills all of the stipulations prescribed for the "pure agent" under Service Tax (Determination of Valuation) Rules, 2006. Other than the above, if the 'advertising agency' receives any consideration from the Media as a Commission for arranging/finding Customers for the Media in relation to their Sale of SPACE or TIME-SLOT (as the case may be). the said consideration amount received by the 'Advertising Agency' is also liable to Service Tax under the Taxable services of "business auxiliary service".

The activity of the media is selling of Space or Time Slots for advertisement, which is classified under 105(zzzzm) of Section 65 of the Act; on the other hand the activity of the "Advertising Agency" is to make necessary arrangements to have the matter of its client advertised in the media. The Appellants relied on the following case laws: (1) The Hon'ble CESTAT, Ahmedabad in the matter of M/s Drishty Communication Pvt. Ltd. v. CCE & ST-Rajkot [Service Tax Appeal No. 135 of 2012 dtd. 05th January, 2023], (2) Euro Rscg. Advertising Ltd. andv. CCE on 27th December, 2006 Equivalent citations: 2007 9 STJ 56 CESTAT Bangalore, 2007 7 STR 277, (3) Grey Worldwide (I) Pvt. Ltd. v. Commissioner of Service Tax on 30th July, 2014 in the Hon'ble CESTAT. West Zonal Bench at Mumbai, Appeal No. ST/300 & 325/09.

The Appellants have already paid service tax on amount

received from clients/customers, in over business 15% on amount received from customers, commission on selling of printing slot.

- The demand of interest and penalty is not sustainable in view of unsustainability of the demand of input tax credit. Service tax has not been payable as the department could not prove the allegation with the support of any corroborative evidences.
- Personal Hearing in the case was held on 09.11.2023.
 Shri Dhawal Movailya, C.A., appeared on behalf of the Appellants for the hearing. He reiterated the contents of the written aubmissions and requested to allow their appeal.
- 6. The Appellants have submitted following documents (A) copy of Income Tax Return, (B) copy of P & L Account and Balance Sheet and copy of ledger summary in respect of F.Y. 2015-16 and F.Y. 2016-17, (C) copy of ST-3 Returns and sample invoices issued to various clients and copy of invoice received from the Times Group (D) copy of Form 26AS for F.Y. 2015-16 and F.Y. 2016-17.
- 7. I have gone through the facts of the case, submission made in the Appeal Memorandum, the submission made at the time of personal hearing and oral submissions made at the time of personal hearing. The issue before me for decision is whether the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority confirming demand of service tax amount of Rs. 7,63,998/- along with interest and penalties, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, is legal and proper or otherwise. The dispute pertains to the period F.Y 2015-16 & F.Y. 2016-17.
- It is noticed that in the instant case the Appellantss holding Service tax registration No. BWUPP4210QSD001 are



engaged in providing taxable services of Advertising Agency Service. The taxable service in respect of advertising agency is defined in Section 65 (105) (e) in the following manner:

to a client, by an advertising agency in relation to advertisement in any

In the present case a person or an organization who wante to advertise their product approaches an advertising agency Therefore such a person / organization who want to avail the services of advertising agency become the client of the advertising agency. This senect can be further supported with the fact that it is only the client who is deducting the TDS under the Income Toy Act. The advertisement can be done in various ways either through Print Media or through Padia or Television ato in order to fulfill the requirements of his client the advertising agency which is the service provider gets in touch with the appropriate media. In other words as far as the advertising agency is concerned its client is not the media. In order to provide advertising agency service the Appellants charge certain amounts from their clients, which is inclusive of amount that has to be paid to media for insertion of the advertisement either in Print Media or in Television The Appellants have demonstrated by the given example as shown under that they have received income only to the extent of around 15% from the media in the form of discount-

If the tariff rate is Rs. 100/- the media charges Rs. 85/- and the Appellants get Rs. 15/- towards discounts, which is an actual income in the hand of the Appellants and on that amount they discharge service tax received from their clients.

10. However, on going through the impugned order which was issued ex-parte, the adjudicating authority has neither considered the factual position nor the legality of the entire issue and demanded service tax on the whole amount received by the Appellants from the service provided by them on the basis of mere data collected from income Tax Return without excluding the amount which was paid to media for the purchase of space or Time Slot. The demand of service tax confirmed by the adjudicating authority is shown as under:

Period	"Value difference in ITR and STR"	Total rate of duty	Amount of Service Tax not paid
2015-16	24,80,481	14.5%	3,59,669
2016-17	26,95,531	15%	4,04,329
	-	Total	7,63,993

11. I find that the Appellants had paid service tax for the impugned period and also flied service tax Return. On the basis of data received from Service tax Returns (ST-3) submitted by the Appellants the details of taxable amount and service tax paid by the Appellants in the respective period is shown as undeer.

	F.Y. 2015-16	
Period	Taxable amount	Service Tax paid
April-September	2,64,471	37,025
October-March	1,72,407	24,801
Total	4,36,878	61,826
	F.Y. 2016-17	
Period	Taxable amount	Service Tax paid
April-September	5,04,425	75,295
October-March	29,358	4,404
Total	5,33,783	79,699

- 12. In view of the above findings, the impugned order has no merits. Since the demand of service tax is not sustainable on merits there does not arise any question of interest or penalty in the matter.
- Accordingly, in view of my foregoing discussions, I set aside the impugned order passed by the adjudicating



authority for being not legal and proper and allow the appeal

14. शामिक्सर्य हारा स्थाप अधिक का चित्रहान नामिक को किया जाना है।

The appeal filed by the Appellants stands disposed of in above terms.

ज्ञानचंद जैन आयुक्त (अपील्स) Dated: ३% 11 2023

Attested MACA

BY RPAD / SPEED POST

M/s. Parangeeta Mohanlal Patel, Supra Traders, B-86, Girivar Bunglows, Isanour. Ahmedabad-382 443

Appellants

The Deputy Commissioner
Division-IV (Narol), CGST & Central Excise

Respondent

Copy to:

Ahmedahad South

- 1. The Principal Chief Commissioner, Central GST, Ahmedabad Zone
- 2. The Commissioner, CGST, Ahmedahad South
- 3. The Assistant Commissioner, Division -IV. Central GST.Ahmedabad South
- The Assistant Commissioner (HQ System), CGST, Ahmedabad South (for uploading the O[A])

8. Guard File

6 PA file

